



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/676,956	09/30/2003	Christopher Don Roberts	355491-1250	1045
38706	7590	02/20/2008	EXAMINER	
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP			CRANE, LAWRENCE E	
975 PAGE MILL ROAD				
PALO ALTO, CA 94304			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/20/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/676,956	ROBERTS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lawrence E. Crane	1623

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Lawrence E. Crane.

(3) _____.

(2) Hugo Eng.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 February 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant indicated that a minor error was discovered in a claim and requested guidance concerning how to correct same. Examiner suggested a 312 amendment as the most efficient way to proceed.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

L. E. Crane
Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1600

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)